

### **Remarks**

The Applicant respectfully submits these new claims for review and approval.

By presenting these new claims the Applicant has addressed the Examiners claims objections under 37 CFR 1.126 and 35 USC 112.

Further, by submitting these new claims the applicant has addressed the Examiners objections to the claims under 35 USC 103.

### **Claims rejections under 35 USC 103**

The examiner has rejected the original claims 1-28 as being unpatentable over Yeager 97/22297 in view of Sellars 5,678,562. The examiner has also rejected original claims 1-28 as being unpatentable over Yeager 97/22297 in view of Wilcox 5,995,077.

By re-writing and restructuring the claims and submitting said new claims 29-48 the applicant has addressed all 103 objections. Independent claims 29 and 39 contain a unique set of elements that could not have been obvious to Yeager in view of either Sellars or Wilcox. There is no suggestion of motivation in either Yeager or Sellars to make the combination of elements in new claims 29 and 39. Further, there is no expectation of success in combining Yeager and Sellars in achieving the elements of new claims 29 and 39. Further, the prior art references of Yeager and Sellars do not teach each and every element of independent claims 29 and 39. Therefore, there should be no objections to new independent claims 29 and 39 under 35 USC 103.

Further, since claims 30-38 depend from claim 29, and claim 29 does not raise 103 objections, then dependent claims 30-38 should also not raise any 103 objections.

Further, since claims 40-48 depend from claim 39, and claim 39 does not raise any 103 objections, then dependent claims 40-48 should also not raise any 103 objections.